SENATE

REPORT 105–213

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 1999

JUNE 11, 1998.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. Burns, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

# REPORT

[To accompany S. 2160]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 2160) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

Total of bill as reported to Senate	\$8,480,574,000
Amount of 1999 budget estimate	7,784,074,000
Amount of 1998 appropriations	9,183,248,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Over the budget estimate, 1999	696,500,000
Under appropriations for fiscal year 1998	702,674,000

# CONTENTS

Background:	Page
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3 7
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	
Committee recommendation	7
Items of special interest	8
Military construction, Army	14
Military construction, Navy	15
Military construction, Air Force	17
Military construction, Defense-wide	18
Military construction, Reserve components	20
NATO Security Investment Program	23
Family housing overview	$^{24}$
Family housing Army	$^{24}$
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	25
Family housing, Air Force	26
Family housing, Defense-wide	$^{27}$
Family housing improvement fund	27
Homeowners assistance fund	27
Base closure overview	$^{27}$
Base realignment and closure account, part II	30
Base realignment and closure account, part III	30
Base realignment and closure account, part IV	30
General provisions	31
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	32
Compliance with paragraph 7(c), rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the	
Senate	32
Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	33
Project listing by location	34

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

#### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$8,480,574,000 for fiscal year 1999 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 1999 request.

# COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

Item	1998 adjusted	Budget estimate	Committee rec-	Increase (+) or decrease (-) compared with—	ase (-) compared
			OIIIIIEII AATIOII	1998 adjusted	Budget estimate
Military construction, Army Military construction, Navy Military construction, Air Force Military construction, Defense-wide	\$714,377,000 683,666,000 701,855,000 646,342,000	\$790,876,000 468,150,000 454,810,000 491,675,000	\$810,476,000 559,250,000 627,874,000 571,485,000	$^{+\$96,099,000}_{-124,116,000}_{-73,981,000}_{-74,857,000}_{-74,857,000}$	+ \$19,600,000 + 91,100,000 + 173,064,000 + 79,810,000
Total, Active components	2,746,240,000	2,205,511,000	2,569,085,000	-177,155,000	+ 363,574,000
Military construction, Army National Guard	118,350,000 3,700,000 190,444,000	47,675,000	124,599,000	+ 6,249,000 - 3,700,000 - 27,283,000	+ 76,924,000 + 128,400,000
Military construction, Army Reserve	74,167,000 47,329,000 30,243,000	/1,28/,000 15,271,000 10,535,000	114,349,000 21,621,000 22,835,000	+40,182,000 -25,708,000 -7,408,000	$+43,062,000 \\ +6,350,000 \\ +12,300,000$
Total, Reserve components	464,233,000	179,529,000	446,565,000	-17,668,000	+ 267,036,000
Total, Military construction	3,210,473,000	2,385,040,000	3,015,650,000	-194,823,000	+ 630,610,000
NATO Security Investment Program	152,600,000	185,000,000	152,600,000		-32,400,000
Family housing, Army: New construction Construction improvements Planning and design General reduction	101,650,000 86,100,000 9,550,000	70,100,000 28,629,000 6,350,000 -1,639,000	70,100,000 49,679,000 6,350,000 - 1,639,000	$\begin{array}{l} -31,550,000 \\ -36,421,000 \\ -3,200,000 \\ -1,639,000 \end{array}$	+ 21,056,000
Subtotal, construction	197,300,000 1,140,568,000	103,440,000 1,104,733,000	124,490,000 1,104,733,000	$^{-72,810,000}_{-35,835,000}$	+ 21,050,000
Total, Family housing, Army	1,337,868,000	1,208,173,000	1,229,223,000	-108,645,000	+ 21,050,000
New construction improvements Planning and design	175,196,000 203,536,000 15,100,000	59,504,000 211,991,000 15,618,000	59,504,000 217,791,000 15,618,000	$^{-115,692,000}_{+14,255,000}_{+518,000}$	+ 5,800,000

General reduction		-6,323,000	-6,323,000	-6,323,000	
Subtotal, construction Operation and maintenance	393,832,000 976,504,000 18,100,000	280,790,000 915,293,000	286,590,000 915,293,000	$\begin{array}{l} -107,242,000 \\ -61,211,000 \\ -18,100,000 \end{array}$	+5,800,000
Total, Family housing, Navy	1,388,436,000	1,196,083,000	1,201,883,000	-186,553,000	+5,800,000
New construction to be construction improvements	159,943,000 123,795,000 11,971,000	140,499,000 81,778,000 11,342,000 7,584,000	$179,199,000\\118,018,000\\11,342,000\\-11,084,000$	$^{+19,256,000}_{-5,777,000}_{-629,000}_{-11,084,000}$	+ 38,700,000 + 36,240,000 - 3,500,000
Subtotal, construction Operation and maintenance	295,709,000 830,234,000 2,400,000	226,035,000 789,995,000	297,475,000 789,995,000	$^{+1,766,000}_{-40,239,000}_{-2,400,000}$	+ 71,440,000
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,128,343,000	1,016,030,000	1,087,470,000	-40,873,000	+ 71,440,000
raliny novaling, betalase-wide: Construction improvements	4,900,000 50,000	345,000	345,000	$\begin{array}{l} -4,555,000 \\ -50,000 \end{array}$	
Subtotal, construction	4,950,000 32,724,000	345,000 36,899,000	345,000 36,899,000	-4,605,000 +4,175,000	
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide	37,674,000	37,244,000	37,244,000	-430,000	
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund		7,000,000	7,000,000 12,800,000	$^{+7,000,000}_{+12,800,000}$	
Total, Family housing  New construction  Construction improvements  Planning and design  General reduction  Operation and maintenance  Construction  Const	3,892,321,000 (436,789,000) (418,331,000) (36,671,000) (2,980,030,000)	3,477,330,000 (270,103,000) (322,743,000) (33,310,000) (-15,546,000) (2,846,920,000)	3,575,620,000 (308,803,000) (385,833,000) (33,310,000) (-19,046,000) (2,846,920,000)	-316,701,000 (-127,986,000) (-32,498,000) (-3,361,000) (-19,046,000) (-133,110,000)	+ 98,290,000 (+ 38,700,000) (+ 63,090,000) (- 3,500,000)
Family Trousing Improvement Fund	(20,500,000)	(12,800,000)	(12,800,000)	(+12,800,000) (+12,800,000) (-20,500,000)	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

llem	1998 adjusted	Budget estimate	Committee rec-	Increase (+) or decrease (-) compared with—	ase (-) compared -
			OIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	1998 adjusted	Budget estimate
Base realignment and closure accounts:					
Part II	116,754,000			-116,754,000	
Part III	768,702,000	433,464,000	433,464,000	-335,238,000	
Part IV	1,175,398,000	1,297,240,000	1,297,240,000	+121,842,000	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 105–174)	1,020,000			-1,020,000	
Total, Base realignment and closure accounts (net)	2,061,874,000	1,730,704,000	1,730,704,000	-331,170,000	
Paine Field authority (sec. 126)		6,000,000	6,000,000	+ 6,000,000	
Revised Economic Assumption (sec. 125)	- 108,800,000			+ 108,800,000	
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority	9,208,468,000	7,784,074,000	8,480,574,000	-727,894,000	+696,500,000
Appropriations	(9, 183, 248, 000)	(7,784,074,000)	(8,480,574,000)	(-702,674,000)	(+696,500,000)
Emergency appropriations	(25, 220, 000)			(-25,220,000)	

6

#### COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law  $93{\text -}344$ ) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

#### BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

### PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget	authority	Outl	ays
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Commit- tee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts for 1999: Subcommittee on Military Construction:				
Defense discretionary	8,484	8,481	9,120	<sup>1</sup> 9,120
Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation:	,	-, -	.,	-, -
1999				<sup>2</sup> 2,648
2000				2,837
2001				1,617
2002				822
2003 and future year				417
Financial assistance to State and local govern-				
ments for 1999 in bill	NA		NA	

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 1999 appropriations of \$8,480,574,000. This is \$696,500,000 over the budget request, and \$702,674,000 under the appropriations for fiscal year 1998. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Items of special interest," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>mbox{lncludes}$  outlays from prior-year budget authority.  $^{2}\,\mbox{Excludes}$  outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### COMPLIANCE WITH 302(b) ALLOCATION

The Appropriations Committee conformed fully to the budget resolution for defense spending in its 302(b) allocation. This allocation divided the budget authority and outlays among the subcommittees with jurisdiction over discretionary spending. In this recommended bill, the Appropriations Committee has remained within the tight constraints of its 302(b) allocation for military construction.

#### **HEARINGS**

The Subcommittee on Military Construction held hearings on the fiscal year 1999 budget request beginning in March 1998 and concluding in May 1998. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the military services and defense agencies concerning fiscal year 1999 budget priorities and base realignment and closure issues.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The budget request for fiscal year 1999 reflects a reduction of \$1,399,174,000 from the amount enacted in fiscal year 1998. The Committee notes, with great concern, the continuous under investment by the Department of Defense in military facilities and infrastructure. This proposed level of funding does not provide sufficient resources to continue the Department's efforts to modernize, renovate, and improve aging defense facilities.

With approximately 22 percent of the Department's military construction budget request dedicated to the base realignment and closure accounts, readiness and quality of life for the Active and Reserve components remain in need of substantial improvement and dedication of adequate resources. The effects of sustained and structural inattention by the Department and the military departments to basic infrastructure are apparent on nearly every military installation. This will continue to have long-term implications as facilities continue to age disproportionately without a sustained level of investment in maintenance and repair.

The requested funding level reflects a lack of commitment by the administration to reduce the serious backlog of readiness, revitalization and quality of life projects. The Committee urges the Department to develop a comprehensive funding strategy and plan to address these serious infrastructure problems.

The Committee recommends an additional \$696,500,000 above the fiscal year 1999 budget request to correct some of these deficiencies and shortfalls. The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 1999 is \$8,480,574,000, a reduction of \$702,674,000 from fiscal year 1998 funding.

#### GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE AND RESULTS ACT

The Committee has reviewed the Department of Defense's performance plan for fiscal year 1999, and evaluated the review done by the General Accounting Office [GAO] to assess compliance with the Result's Act. The Committee commends the assessment and

recommendations presented by GAO in this area.

The Department does not clearly articulate how they intend to modernize, renovate, and improve aging defense infrastructure into the next century. The plan provides only a partial picture of intended performance across the DOD. For example, it does not include any performance measure and associated target levels to help decisionmakers assess progress toward DOD's goal to achieve a 21st century infrastructure by reducing costs while maintaining military capabilities. The plan notes, however, that total dollars spent on infrastructure and infrastructure costs as a percentage of total defense spending are metrics used to gauge success in infrastructure reduction. DOD could improve its plan by using these metrics as performance measure and establishing associated target performance levels.

The Committee encourages the Department to establish specific performance goals and measures in their fiscal year 2000 submission to Congress that address key indicators concerning military infrastructure and construction. These measures should include reduction of real property maintenance backlogs, improvement of family housing, modernization of unaccompanied personnel housing, and efforts to address critical shortfalls of quality of life facilities.

#### QUALITY OF LIFE INITIATIVE

The Department of Defense relies on highly trained and disciplined people as the underlying foundation for its military strategy. The success of this plan depends on the Department's ability to recruit, train, and retain quality people—ultimately, to provide a reasonable quality of life for them and their families as they serve this Nation.

Quality of life tends to influence a service member's decision to stay in or leave the service. The Committee believes that quality of life has a positive impact on retention, and accordingly is a vital element in ensuring our military readiness. This year, the Committee is recommending an additional \$200,000,000 for critical quality of life projects throughout the Department of Defense. These projects include child care centers, modernization and replacement of barracks, dining facilities, family housing projects, physical fitness and recreation centers, health clinics, and family support centers.

The Committee believes that these facilities are essential to attracting and maintaining a quality force as well as supporting service members and their families who live and work at our military installations. The Committee urges the Department to ensure that the military departments provide a sustained level of investment in those facilities which enhance quality of life.

#### OVERSEAS CONSTRUCTION

The U.S. military presence permanently stationed overseas has significantly diminished over the past several years, as our country has transitioned from a forward-based strategy to one of forward presence. The nature of our overseas commitments continues to change, causing uncertainty about the size, location, and composition of our forces into the next century.

The Committee believes that there is a strong likelihood of additional force structure reductions in the next few years. The fiscal year 1999 budget request proposes \$1,439,200,000 for overseas military construction, almost 18 percent of the entire military con-

struction budget for the Department of Defense.

While these proposed projects support U.S. missions and forces around the world, the Committee cautions that we must be prepared for reductions from overseas deployments if force levels decline further. While recognizing the importance of providing improvements to those essential quality of life facilities overseas, the Committee believes the Department should be particularly conservative in making additional construction commitments. The Department should consider every opportunity to lease facilities or share infrastructure with host nations, where possible. Accordingly, the Committee has recommended funding only those most essential service priorities overseas.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROJECTS

The Department has requested \$88,147,000 for environmental compliance project construction during fiscal year 1999. The Committee recommends funding of \$96,767,000 for 15 projects, as follows:

10 11 21	
State/Service/Installation/Project	Recommended
Alaska: DFSC: DFSC Elmendorf AFB: Replace hydrant fuel system	\$19,500,000
Arkansas: Air Force: Little Rock AFB: Upgrade sewage treatment	φ13,300,000
plant	1,500,000
	1 250 000
tank Florida:	1,350,000
DLA:	
Def fuel support Point Jax—Anx: Replace fuel tanks	
	11,020,000
Mayport  Def fuel support Point Jacksonville: Replace fuel tanks	11,000,000
Georgia: Navy: Submarine Base Kings Bay: MSF sedimentation	11,000,000
control system	2,550,000
Hawaii:	2,000,000
Navy:	
MCB Hawaii: Hazardous material/waste consolid. fac	4,570,000
Pearl Harbor Navy Public Works Center: Sewer outfall ex-	,,
tension	22,877,000
Michigan: Air National Guard: Alpena County Regional Airport:	, ,
Sanitary sewer lines	3,900,000
Mississippi:	
DLA: DFSC Camp Shelby: Replace bulk fuel fac	5,300,000
DMSA: Keesler AFB: Bioenvironmental eng fac repl	700,000
Oklahoma: DLA: Defense Fuel Support Point—Fort Sill: Replace	
fuel storage facility	3,500,000
Washington:	0.000.5
Army: Fort Lewis: Tank trail erosion mitigation—Yakima	2,000,000

State/Service/Installation/Project	Recommended
DMSA: Bangor NSB: Disease Vector Ecology and Control Center	5,700,000
Worldwide various: DLA: Various locations: Conforming storage facilities	1,300,000
Total	96 767 000

The Committee again directs the Department to devote the maximum amount of resources to actual cleanups and to limit resources expended on administration, support, studies, and investigations, to the greatest extent possible.

#### REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements more than \$2,000,000. To provide the individual services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, and also projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

#### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

The Committee has included \$1,730,704,000 for the "Base realignment and closure" account. The Committee has fully funded the budget request for base closure and realignment. This includes full funding of the requirements for environmental cleanup at closing and realigning bases.

The Committee again asks the General Accounting Office to continue its annual review of the base closure accounts. The Committee requests GAO's review of and recommendations on the validity of DOD's proposed budget requests for base closure activities. In particular, the Committee seeks GAO's assistance in validating the requests for individual construction projects, the operation and maintenance costs, and the environmental cleanup costs associated with base closures and realignments. The Committee believes the annual GAO review will continue to give the Congress a better foundation for approving future requests. This report should be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than May 15, 1999.

In past years, the Committee directed that any transfer of funds for construction projects, which deviated from the approved projects for BRAC IV, should be treated like any other reprogramming within the military construction appropriation. The Committee also directs that any transfer of funds for construction projects, which deviate from the projects currently approved for BRAC III should be treated like any other reprogramming within the military construction appropriation.

#### SINGLE MEMBER HOUSING

The Committee continues to recognize the requirement for better barracks and dormitories for our service members. The Committee remains concerned, however, that requiring all new construction to meet the one-plus-one standard is unreasonable, expensive, and may not be required to solve a critical retention or morale problem. The Committee feels this initiative should not be mandated for all services and across the Department of Defense.

While this initiative promises to improve quality of life, it does not make sense in today's constrained fiscal environment. It will cost approximately \$14,300,000,000 to build barracks to this new standard over the next 20 years. With the precipitous decline in military construction spending, the issue becomes how the Department allocates scarce resources between other meritorious requirements, such as family housing and rebuilding aging infrastructure.

The majority of the occupants of these rooms will be the most junior enlisted members of our military. There are other ways to improve quality of life, retention and morale beyond providing every unaccompanied service member a private room. More importantly, putting an 18-year-old man or woman in a room alone detracts from promoting unit cohesiveness and team building. When young service members retire to their private rooms, opportunities for interaction and mentoring are lost. It is well documented that the more time members of a unit associate together, the more cohesive and effective their team becomes, particularly in combat.

However, the Committee strongly supports the requirement for junior noncommissioned officers to have private rooms. Their right to privacy should be a factor in determining the types and number of facilities needed in each location.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the overall Department analysis that supports the requirement to expend the funds necessary to convert barracks to the new standard, timetable to do so, associated costs and what morale, retention and readiness problems will be solved by building and replacing all barracks to this standard. The assessment should be provided no later than March 15, 1999.

The Committee recommends \$708,442,000 for 46 barracks construction projects in fiscal year 1999, an increase of \$141,720,000 over the budget request. The projects are as follows:

#### BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

State/service/installation/project	Request	Change	Recommended
Alabama: Air Force: Maxwell AFB: OTS student dormitories	12,765		12,765
Alaska: Army: Fort Wainwright: Whole barracks renewal		+19,500	19,500
Arizona: Navy: Yuma MCAS: Bachelor enlisted quarters	11,010		11,010
California:			
Navy:			
Camp Pendleton MCB: Bachelor enlisted quarters	12,400		12,400
Camp Pendleton MCB: Bachelor enlisted quarters	15,840		15,840
Miramar CA MCAS: Bachelor enlisted quarters	29,570		29,570
Naval Facility San Clemente: Bachelor enlisted quarters	8,350		8,350
Florida: Air Force: Eglin AFB: Dormitory	7,866		7,866
Georgia:			
Army: Fort Benning: Whole barracks complex renewal	28,600		28,600

# BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS—Continued

State/service/installation/project	Request	Change	Recommended
Navy: NAS Atlanta: BEQ modernization		+ 2,250	2,250
Army: Schofield barracks: Whole barracks complex renewal	47,500		47,500
Kaneohe Bay MCAS: Bachelor enlisted quarters	27,410		27,410
MCB Hawaii: Bachelor enlisted quarters		+19,000	19,000
NSB Pearl Harbor: BEQ modernization			8,060
Idaho: Air Force: Mountain Home AFB: Dormitory			8,897
Kansas: Army: Fort Riley: Whole barracks complex renewal phase II		+ 16,500	16,500
Army:	41 000		41 000
Fort Campbell, Whole barracks complex renewal		+ 15,500	41,000
Fort Campbell: Whole barracks complex renewal phase II		+ 15,220	15,500 15,220
Maryland: Army: Fort Detrick: Whole barracks complex renewal phase II		+ 7,100	7,100
Maryland: Almy: Fort Detrick: Whole Darracks Complex Tenewar phase if		+7,100	7,100
Columbus AFB: Bachelor officers quarters		+5,700	5,700
Keesler AFB: Student dormitories			29,770
Navy: Gulfport Naval Construction Bn Ctr: BEQ modernization	10,670		10,670
Montana: Air Force: Malmstrom AFB: Replace dormitory		+7,900	7,900
Nevada: Air Force: Nellis AFB: Dormitory			6,378
Army: Fort Bragg: Whole barracks complex renewal	47,000		47,000
Navy: Camp Lejeune MCB: Bachelor enlisted quarters		+ 15,700	15,700
Air Force: Tinker AFB: Dormitory	9,100		9,100
Army: Fort Sill: Whole barracks complex renewal	20,500		20,500
Texas:	-,		.,
Air Force:			
Goodfellow AFB: Student dormitory		+7,300	7,300
Lackland AFB: Dormitory			6,800
Army: Fort Sam Houston: Whole barracks complex renewal	21,800		21,800
Virginia: Army:			
Fort Eustis: Whole barracks complex renewal	36,531		36,531
Fort Meyer: Whole barracks complex renewal		+6,200	6,200
Wyoming: Air Force: F.E. Warren AFB: Alter dormitories		+ 3,850	3,850
Army: Schweinfurt: Whole barracks complex renewal	18,000		18,000
Air Force: Spangdahlem AB: Dormitory	9,501		9,501
Greece: Navy: Souda Bay Crete Naval support activity: Bachelor enlisted quarters	5,260		5,260
Korea:			
Army:			
Camp Casey: Whole barracks complex renewal	13,400		13,400
Camp Castle: Whole barracks complex renewal	18,226		18,226
Camp Humphreys: Whole barracks complex renewal	8,500		8,500
Camp Stanley: Whole barracks complex renewal	5,800		5,800
Air Force:			
Kunsan AB: Dormitory	5,958		5,958
Osan AB: Dormitory	7,496		7,496
United Kingdom:			
Air Force:	15.000		15.000
			15,838
RAF Lakenheath: Dormitories RAF Mildenhall: Dormitories	15,838 10,926		10,926

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 1998	\$714,377,000
Budget estimate, 1999	790,876,000
Committee recommendation	810.476.000

The Committee recommends \$810,476,000 for the Army for fiscal year 1999. This is an increase of \$19,600,000 from the budget request for fiscal year 1999. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects

to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 1999, as practical:

Road improvement, Pohakuloa training area, Hawaii.—Of the \$46,819,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,200,000 be made available for the design of the Saddle

Road improvement at the Pohakuloa training area, Hawaii.

Fort Hunter Liggett, CA.—The Committee is aware that the Army is currently developing plans for the realignment of Fort Hunter Liggett, CA, as mandated by the 1995 Defense Base Realignment and Closure [BRAC] Commission. The Commission's findings state in part: "The realignment of this installation ends the Active component presence while preserving the U.S. Army Reserve Command garrison. The Army will license the training facility and training area to the California National Guard as part of the realignment."

The Committee has learned that the Secretary of the Army's plan for the realignment of Fort Hunter Liggett does not include a requirement to grant a real estate license to the California National Guard. Although the Committee does not intend to intervene in the base closure process, the Committee directs a report to the congressional defense committees detailing the Army's intent regarding the granting of a real estate license to the California Na-

tional Guard.

Sabre Heliport, Fort Campbell, KY.—The Committee recognizes the critical importance of the Sabre Heliport to military operations at Fort Campbell, to include both the 101st Airborne Division (Assault) and the special operations community. However, this facility currently handles approximately 76,800 operations each year, when it was originally designed to support only several thousand annually.

The Committee understands that this heliport needs essential renovations in order to operate both safely and efficiently. The U.S. Army Aeronautical Services Agency [USAASA] has issued a temporary waiver that allows the facility to continue normal operations until April 2001. However, these deficiencies decrease operational efficiency, increase the risk of accidents, and limit the Army's ability to facilitate necessary training and support to assigned aircraft.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to report to the congressional defense committees not later than January 15, 1999, on their plan and timetable to make the necessary airfield improvements. The Committee urges the Department to assess the viability of reprogramming funds in order to accomplish this work. These renovations should ensure that the heliport continues to meet its mission requirements, complies with the instrument flight rules [IFR], and no longer requires a USAASA waiver.

Coal car preheat facility, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$15,000,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to build a coal car preheat facility at

Fort Wainwright, AK.

North Fort athletic complex, Fort Lewis, WA.—Of the \$46,819,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$456,000 be made available for the design of the North Fort athletic complex at Fort Lewis, WA.

Ammunition surveillance facility, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$46,819,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$320,000 be made available for the design of an ammunition

surveillance facility at Fort Wainwright, AK.

Chicago Military Academy, Chicago, IL.—The Committee urges the Department of the Army to assess the feasibility of converting the Eighth Regiment Armory in Chicago, IL, into a Chicago Military Academy for Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Appropriations, 1998	\$683,666,000
Budget estimate, 1999	468,150,000
Committee recommendation	559,250,000

The Committee recommends \$559,250,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 1999. This amount is an increase of \$91,100,000 from the fiscal year 1999 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects

to be awarded, as early as fiscal year 1999, as practical:

Naval Station, Annapolis, MD.—The Committee has provided \$4,200,000 for the design and demolition of the Navy radio transmitting facility [NRTF] towers at the Naval Station, Annapolis. The Committee encourages the Navy to maintain this parcel of land as a permanent wildlife refuge with managed public access. Additionally, the Committee directs the Navy to report to the congressional defense committees the status of this demolition effort and intended use of the land not later than October 15, 1998.

Former Naval Ordinance Station, Louisville, KY.—The Committee is concerned with the Navy's unwillingness to enter into negotiations with the Louisville Jefferson County Redevelopment Authority [LJCRA] regarding a long-term lease agreement regarding the former Louisville Naval Ordinance Station. Section 2833 of the Fiscal Year 1996 Defense Authorization Act specifically grants the services the authority to enter into longer term interim leases con-

cerning BRAC properties. In June 1996, the Principal Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Industrial Affairs and Installations) urged each of the services "to take full advantage of this opportunity."

As a result of the Navy's reluctance to do so, the Greater Louis-ville Technology Park facility has been unable to maximize economic redevelopment and thus lower the Navy's overhead costs. The Committee strongly encourages the Department of the Navy to begin negotiations with the LJCRA immediately. A 25-year lease effort will allow the facility to successfully recruit additional tenants, benefiting the local community as well as the Navy. The Committee directs the Navy to report to the congressional defense committees on the status of these negotiations not later than October 15, 1998.

The Committee also understands that there are a number of unresolved environmental conditions associated with the former Naval Ordinance Station in Louisville which are delaying the transition from government to private operations. The Committee directs the Department of the Navy to review this matter and report to the congressional defense committees by October 30, 1998, on the results of this assessment.

Aircraft platform interface facility, Lakehurst Naval Air Warfare Center, New Jersey.—Of the \$62,146,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,650,000 be made available for the design of an aircraft platform interface facility at Lakehurst Naval Air Warfare Center, New Jersey.

Fitness facility, NAVSUBASE, Bangor, WA.—Of the \$10,100,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,117,000 be provided for the construction of a fitness facility at the lower base, NAVSUBASE, Bangor, WA.

Berthing pier replacement, Earle NWS, NJ.—Of the \$62,146,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of a berthing pier replacement at Earle NWS, NJ.

Water conservation issues, Naval Air Station Fallon, NV.—The Committee directs the Navy, to the maximum extent practicable, to replace direct surface deliveries of water with drain water and treated wastewater to irrigate the lands owned by the United States associated with the Naval Air Station at Fallon, NV. Of the \$62,146,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs \$1,150,000 be made available for treatment design for these alternative sources of water. Further, upon completion of the existing agricultural out leases on this land, the Navy is encouraged to return the irrigated greenbelt lands to their previous ecologically appropriate dryland condition.

The Committee understands the Navy is considering building a golf course on the Naval Air Station Fallon. The Committee notes this intended land use appears inconsistent with the intent of Public Law 101–618, section 206(c). No funds appropriated by this act, and no actions otherwise should be taken by the Navy to build a

golf course in the vicinity of U.S. Naval Station, Fallon, NV, with-

out the further specific approval of the Congress.

Naval security group activity, Sugar Grove, WV.—The Committee understands there is a shortage of military family housing and quality of life facilities at the naval security group activity located at Sugar Grove, WV. The Committee directs the Department of the Navy to report to the congressional defense committees not later than January 15, 1999, on plans to reduce the housing deficit, upgrade existing barracks and housing, as well as improve quality of life facilities at this installation.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 1998	\$701,855,000
Budget estimate, 1999	454,810,000
Committee recommendation	627,874,000

The Committee recommends \$627,874,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 1999. This is an increase of \$173,064,000 to the fiscal year 1999 budget request. (See State table at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects

to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 1999, as practical:

Rhein Main Air Base.—The Committee understands that the Air Force intends to close Rhein Mein Air Base and transition the mission to Ramstein and Spangdahlem Air Bases. The Air Force plans to significantly improve and expand existing infrastructure and capabilities at these bases as part of this relocation proposal. The Committee also understands that the Air Force will receive payment-in-kind [PIK] for new facilities at Ramstein Air Base and Spangdahlem Air Base in exchange for existing U.S. infrastructure that will be returned to the Government of Germany. Accordingly, the Committee has disapproved the request for a mission-related military construction project at Spangdahlem Air Base.

Airfield repair, Seymour Johnson AFB, NC.—Of the \$39,522,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$612,000 be made available for the design of airfield repairs at Sey-

mour Johnson AFB, NC.

Apron extension, Grand Forks AFB, ND.—Of the \$39,522,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$820,000 be made available for the design of an apron extension at Grand Forks AFB, ND.

Airfield repairs, Galena Air Station, AK.—Of the \$39,522,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$900,000 be made available for the design of airfield repairs at Ga-

lena Air Station, AK.

Gate replacement, Kirtland AFB, NM.—Of the Gibson\$10,135,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to replace the Gibson Gate at Kirtland AFB, NM.

Davis Highway intersection, Elmendorf AFB, AK.—Of the \$39,522,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$285,000 be made available for the design of the Davis

Highway intersection, Elmendorf AFB, AK.

Visitors quarters, Dover AFB, DE.—Of the \$39,522,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of a visitors quarters at Dover AFB, DE.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 1998	\$646,342,000
Budget estimate, 1999	491,675,000
Committee recommendation	571,485,000

The Committee recommends \$571,485,000 for projects considered within the "Defense-wide" account. The amount recommended is an increase of \$79,810,000 from the fiscal year 1999 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

#### SCHOOL FACILITIES STUDY

The Committee is concerned that the school facilities owned by the Federal Government and the local school districts, such as the Waynesville, Missouri School District, that support military installations are in desperate need of repair, renovation, and replacement. Additionally, because of base closures, realignments, and corresponding changes in missions impacting military bases across the United States, many civilian school districts, such as the Central Kitsap School District, Washington, have experienced tremendous enrollment growth of dependent children of uniformed personnel. This has caused overcrowding with some schools reaching their enrollment capacity. This has been further aggravated by an increase in the number of special need students.

Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, to provide the Committee with a report prior to February 15, 1999, describing the conditions, adequacy of such facilities, including an estimate of the cost required to address the facilities identified in the report, and finally the requirement for additional schools to support military dependents.

The Committee is also concerned how family housing privatization will impact school district funding. If during the process of privatization, current base housing is changed to non-Federal property, school districts will lose essential Federal funding that is critical for quality educational programs. The Committee encourages the Department to work with the local school districts to ensure that impact aid funding is not reduced as a result of any privatization initiative.

## CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION

The budget request identified a requirement of \$137,500,000 for the construction of chemical weapon demilitarization facilities in fiscal year 1999. The Committee recommends a total of \$87,500,000 for this program. This is a decrease of \$50,000,000 from the fiscal year 1999 budget because of delays in obtaining the required con-

struction and environmental permits. The Committee does not support the Department's transfer of the Chemical Demilitarization Program funds from the Office of the Secretary of Defense to the Army Military Construction Program. The Committee believes that the focus on this program must remain at the Office of the Sec-

retary of the Defense rather than at the service level.

Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences facilities.— The Committee is concerned that the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences [USUHS] has been precluded from participating in the Military Construction Program for the past 4 years. USUHS faces significant new space requirements which were recently validated by the Department. The Committee urges the Department to address the requirement for a fifth building construction project in the fiscal year 2000 budget.

Naval submarine base, Bangor, WA.—Of the \$1,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "DOD dependent school" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of an elementary school for the naval submarine base, Bangor, WA. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 1999, as practical.

#### MEDICAL FACILITIES

The budget request included \$204,782,000 for 23 projects and for unspecified minor construction to provide hospital and medical facilities, including treatment, training, and medical research and development facilities. The Committee recommends funding for these projects and five additional projects for a total of \$234,532,000. The following hospital and medical projects are provided for fiscal year 1999:

[In thousands of dollars]

State/service/installation/project	Request	Change	Recommended
Alabama: Air National Guard: Dannelly Field: Replace medical training and din- ing facility		+6,000	6,000
DMSA:			
Beale AFB: Physiological support fac add/alt	3,500		3,500
Camp Pendleton MCB: Medical/dental cl repl (Margarita)	3,100		3,100
Camp Pendleton MCB: Medical/dental cl repl (San Mateo)	3,200		3,200
Edwards AFB: Aerospace medical clinic add/alt	6,000		6,000
Travis AFB: Patient movement items ops and dist ctr	1,700		1,700
Florida: DMSA: Pensacola NAS: Hospital addition/alteration/LSU	25,400		25,400
Georgia:			
DMSA:			
Fort Stewart: Medical/dental clinic replacement	10,400		10,400
Moody AFB: CMF alteration/dental clinic addition	11,000		11,000
Illinois: DMSA: Great Lakes Naval Station: Hospitalman "A" school addition	7,100		7,100
Indiana: Air National Guard: Fort Wayne International Airport; Replace dining			
hall and medical tng facility		+6,000	6,000
Louisana: DMSA: Barksdale AFB: Clinic addition/alteration	3,450		3,450
Nebraska: Air National Guard: Lincoln Municipal Airport: Joint medical training			
facility		+3,350	3,350
North Carolina: DMSA: Fort Bragg: 44th Medical Brigade WRM warehouse	6,500		6,500
North Dakota: DMSA: Grand Forks AFB: Medical/dental clinic add/alt	5,600		5,600
Pennsylvania: DMSA: Carlisle Barracks: Health clinic addition	4,678		4,678
South Carolina: DMSA: MCAS Beaufort: Medical/dental clinic rep		+11,000	11,000
Texas:			
DMSA:			
Fort Hood: Blood donor center	3,100		3,100

[In thousands of dollars]

State/service/installation/project	Request	Change	Recommended
Fort Hood: Primary care clinic	11,000		11,000
Virginia:			
DMSA:			
Cheatham Annex: Fleet hosp spt ops operational warehouse	9,400		9,400
Cheatham Annex: Fleet hosp support operation admin office	1,900		1,900
Portsmouth Naval Hospital: Hospital replacement phase X	17,954		17,954
Washington:			
Air Force: McChord AFB: Consolidated medical training facility		+3,400	3,400
DMSA:			
Bangor NSB: Disease vector ecology and control center	5,700		5,700
Bremerton Naval Hospital: Hospital addition/alteration	28,000		28,000
McChord AFB: Clinic/wrm warehouse replacement	20,000		20,000
Italy: DMSA: Sigonella NAS: Flight line dispensary	5,300		5,300
United Kingdom: DMSA: RAF Lakenheath: Hospital annex replacement	10,800		10,800
Totals	204,782	+ 29,750	234,532

Contingency construction.—The Committee has provided \$5,000,000 for the "Contingency construction" account. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided to the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 1998	\$464,233,000
Budget estimate, 1999	179,529,000
Committee recommendation	446,565,000

The Committee recommends \$446,565,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is \$267,036,000 above the fiscal year 1999 budget request. This increase reflects the Committee's continued strong support for the Guard and Reserve.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report. The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve component as outlined in the following table:

#### RESERVE COMPONENT

Component	Request	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard Air National Guard Army Reserve Naval Reserve Air Force Reserve	\$47,675,000 34,761,000 71,287,000 15,271,000 10,535,000	\$124,599,000 163,161,000 114,349,000 21,621,000 22,835,000
Total	179,529,000	446,565,000

The Committee has added \$17,302,000 for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives and minor construction projects listed below. The Department is expected to program the construction funds for these projects.

Planning and design.—The Committee recommendation provides an additional \$12,353,000 over the budget request for planning and design activities for the Reserve components.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects

to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 1999, as practical:

Camp Rowland, CT.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available for the design of a leadership training academy at Camp Rowland,

Regional training center, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$150,000 be made available for the design of a regional training center at Fort Harrison, MT.

Aviation support facility, Concord, NH.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$350,000 be made available for the design of an aviation support facility in Concord, NH.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Sand Springs, OK.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$972,000 be made available for an Armed Forces Reserve Center in Sand Springs, OK.

Armory, Juneau, AK.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design with the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$730,000 be made available for the

design of an armory in Juneau, AK.

Upgrade airfield facilities, Stanly County Airport, NC.—Of the \$7,962,000 provided for unspecified minor construction with the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,350,000 be made available to upgrade airfield facilities at the Stanly County Airport, NC.

Advanced Technology Training Center, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$8,546,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for an advanced tech-

nology training center at Fort Harrison, MT.

Aviation support hangar, Los Alamitos, CA.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,100,000 be made available for the design of an aviation support

hangar in Los Alamitos, CA.

Readiness center, Oxford, MS.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$500,000 be made available for the design of a readiness center in Oxford, MS.

Regional maintenance and repair facility, Henderson, TN.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$70,000 be made available for the design of a regional maintenance and repair facility in Henderson, TN.

Organizational maintenance shop, Fair Haven, VT.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$200,000 be made available for the design of a organizational maintenance shop in Fair Haven, VT.

Utilities upgrade, Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center, CA.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$710,000 be made available for the design of a utili-

CA.

Organizational maintenance shop, Henderson, TN.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$203,000 be made available for the design of an organizational maintenance shop in Henderson, TN.

ties upgrade for the Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center,

Ammunition supply point, Camp Roberts, CA.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$640,000 be made available for the design of an ammunition supply

point at Camp Roberts, CA.

CSMS, phase II, Camp Ripley, MN.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$250,000 be made available for the design of a CSMS, phase II at Camp Ripley, MN.

Qualification training range, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$8,546,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,437,000 be made available for a qualification training range at Fort Harrison, MT.

Army Scout armories, AK.—Of the \$8,546,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available to construct Army National Guard Scout armories in

Kwigillingok, Napaskiak, and Craig.

Multipurpose range (phase III), Camp Shelby, MS.—This range supports critical training for the National Guard enhanced readiness brigades in the Southeastern United States. Existing facilities are inadequate to meet new Army training strategies for the 155th Separate Armored Brigade for tank crew and gunnery platoon qualification. The final supplemental environmental impact statement for the proposed project construction was recently completed by the Army. The Committee encourages the National Guard Bureau to incorporate this readiness project into their long-range resourcing plan.

Dual-use training facility, Northfield, VT.—In the fiscal year 1998 Military Construction bill, the Committee directed that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available from the "Army National Guard" account for planning and design of the dual use training facility in Northfield, VT. Of that amount, only \$300,000 has been obligated to date. The Committee urges the Army National Guard

to complete design work on this project.

219th Red Horse flight operation, training, and storage facility, Malmstrom, AFB, MT.—Of the \$8,546,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,450,000 be made available for a flight operation, training, and storage facility at Malmstrom AFB. MT.

National Guard Training and Community Center, Monticello, MN.—Of the \$8,546,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,100,000 be made available to build a training and community center in Monticello, MN.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Smyrna, TN.—Of the \$15,401,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve Center in Smyrna, TN.

Control tower, Stanly County Airport, NC.—Of the \$7,962,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$690,000 be made available to upgrade the control tower at the

Stanly County Airport, NC.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Salem, OR.—The Committee urges the Army National Guard to review the requirement for the Armed Forces Reserve Center located in Salem, OR, for inclusion in the fiscal year 2000 budget request. In addition to housing five Reserve component units, this facility will also serve as the headquarters for emergency coordination for the Oregon State Police.

C-12 aircraft hangar, AASF, Washoe County, NV.—Of the \$8,546,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,313,000 be made available to build a C-12 hangar in

Washoe County, NV.

OMS, Camp Crowder, Neosho, MO.—Of the \$8,546,000 provided in unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs not less than \$1,450,000 be made available to add and alter the organizational maintenance shop [OMS] at Camp Crowder, Neosho, MO.

# NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 1998	\$152,600,000
Budget estimate, 1999	185,000,000
Committee recommendation	152,600,000

The Committee has provided \$152,600,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] Security Investment Program for fiscal year 1999. This amount is \$32,400,000 below the President's fiscal year 1999 budget request but equal to the fiscal year 1998 appropriated level.

NATO Security Investment Program funds.—The Committee is concerned that the Department intends to use NATO Security Investment Program [NSIP] funds to help pay for initiatives under the Partnership for Peace [PFP] Program for countries outside of NATO. In fiscal year 1998, the Partnership for Peace Program re-

ceived \$43,092,000 to pay for these type of activities in non-NATO

countries within Europe.

The NSIP was established to fund critical infrastructure requirements within the NATO alliance. Every year, there are many more requirements than available funds. With the addition of three new member nations this year, there will be significant funding challenges in the areas of logistics, communications, and construction that must be addressed by these limited funds. Accordingly, the Committee has included a provision which prohibits the use of NSIP funds for any aspect of the Partnership for Peace Program or support to non-NATO countries.

#### Family Housing Overview

The Committee has provided \$3,575,620,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, the Department's new family housing improvements fund, and the homeowners assistance program. This amount is \$98,290,000 above the fiscal year 1999 budget request but \$316,701,000 below the amount appropriated in fiscal year 1998.

#### HOUSING PRIVATIZATION INITIATIVE

The Committee is concerned that the Department is delaying the execution of family housing construction projects for which funds have been appropriated, for possible transfer into the family housing improvement fund. Funds that were appropriated for specific construction projects should be executed as justified to the Congress. The Committee strongly encourages the Department to ensure the timely execution of military family housing construction projects for which funds have been appropriated by Congress in prior years. These projects must be allowed to proceed in order to improve the quality of life for service members and their families at the earliest possible date.

The Committee has again recommended a provision which requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions, and extended deployments.

#### Family Housing, Army

Appropriations, 1998	\$1.337.868.000
Budget estimate, 1999	1.208.173.000
Committee recommendation	1.229.223.000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,229,223,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 1999. This is \$21,050,000 over the fiscal year 1999 budget request.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$70,100,000 for new construction as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Alabama: Redstone Arsenal (118 units)	\$14,000,000 14,700,000 19,800,000 21,600,000	\$14,000,000 14,700,000 19,800,000 21,600,000
Total	70,100,000	70,100,000

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the additional amounts provided above the request for construction improvements:

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
Alaska: Fort Richardson	40	\$7,400,000
Kentucky: Fort Campbell	95	10,000,000
New Mexico: White Sands Missile Range	36	3,650,000
Total	171	21,050,000

# FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 1998	\$1,388,436,000
Budget estimate, 1999	1,196,083,000
Committee recommendation	1.201.883.000

The Committee recommends \$1,201,883,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 1999. This amount is \$5,800,000 over the fiscal year 1999 budget request.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$59,504,000 for new construction as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
California: Lemoore NAS (162 units)	\$30,379,000 29,125,000	\$30,379,000 29,125,000
Total	59,504,000	59,504,000

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following project is to be accomplished within the additional amounts provided above the budget request for construction improvements:

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
Washington: Whidbey Island NAS	80	\$5,800,000
Total	80	5,800,000

# FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 1998	\$1,128,343,000
Budget estimate, 1999	1,016,030,000
Committee recommendation	1,087,470,000

The Committee recommends \$1,087,470,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 1999, which is \$71,440,000 over the budget request.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$179,199,000 for new construction, instead of \$140,499,000 as requested, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Alabama: Maxwell AFB (143 units)	16,300,000	16,300,000
Alaska: Eielson AFB (46 units)	12,932,000	12,932,000
California:	, ,	, ,
Edwards AFB (48 units)	12,580,000	12,580,000
Vandenberg AFB (95 units)	18,499,000	18,499,000
Delaware: Dover AFB (55 units)	8,998,000	8,998,000
Florida:		
MacDill AFB (48 units)	7,609,000	7,609,000
Patrick AFB (46 units)	9,692,000	9,692,000
Tyndall AFB (122 units)	14,500,000	14,500,000
Mississippi:		
Keesler AFB (52 units)		6,800,000
Columbus AFB (52 units)		6,800,000
Montana: Malmstrom AFB (62 units)		12,300,000
Nebraska:		
Offutt AFB (90 units)	12,212,000	12,212,000
Housing maintenance facility	900	900
Housing office	870	870
New Mexico: Kirtland AFB (37 units)	6,400,000	6,400,000
Ohio: Wright Patterson AFB (40 units)	5,600,000	5,600,000
Texas:		
Dyess AFB (64 units)	9,415,000	9,415,000
Sheppard AFB (115 units)		12,800,000
Washington:		
Fairchild AFB (14 units)	2,300,000	2,300,000
Housing office/maintenance facility	1,692	1,692
Total	140,499,000	179,199,000

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the additional amounts provided above the request for construction improvements:

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
California: Travis AFB	105	\$10,500,000
New Jersey: McGuire AFB	50	5,800,000
North Carolina: Seymour Johnson AFB	95	10,830,000
South Carolina: Charleston AFB	94	9,110,000

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
Total	344	36,240,000

#### Family Housing, Defense-wide

Appropriations, 1998	\$37,674,000
Budget estimate, 1999	37,244,000
Committee recommendation	37,244,000

The Committee recommends \$37,244,000 for family housing, defense-wide, in fiscal year 1999. This amount is equal to the budget request. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

#### FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 1998	
Budget estimate, 1999	\$7,000,000
Committee recommendation	7,000,000

The Committee recommends \$7,000,000 for the family housing improvement fund in fiscal year 1999 as requested in the budget request.

#### HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

Appropriations, 1998	
Budget estimate, 1999	\$12,800,000
Committee recommendation	12,800,000

The Committee recommends \$12,800,000 for the homeowners assistance fund [HAF]. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1999. This program benefits military personnel and Federal civilian employee homeowners in locations where the housing market becomes depressed due to an announcement of a base closure or realignment of a military installation. The HAF provides partial compensation to homeowners for their financial losses incurred in the sale of their homes. The fund receives funding from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, revenue from sale of acquired properties, reimbursable authorities, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, and recovery of prior year obligations.

#### BASE CLOSURE OVERVIEW

The Committee has approved \$1,730,704,000 for base closure and realignment activities during fiscal year 1999. This amount is \$331,170,000 under the amount appropriated by Congress for fiscal year 1998. A discussion of these activities is included at the beginning of the report under the heading "Base Realignment and Closure."

The Committee recommends providing full funding for these important projects. To this end, the Committee provides the following listing of the specific projects requested by the Department and appropriated by the Committee for the BRAC accounts. The Committee provides specific approval and appropriated funds for the following construction projects:

28

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

[Fiscal year 1999 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1999 BRAC military construction projects]

[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount
Navy BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1999:		
Nevada: Naval Air Station, Fallon: Bachelor Office Quarters Phase II (P-XX1T)	III	11,100
Army BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1999: Alaska: Fort Greely: Munitions storage facility (PN 47461)	IV	1,550
Colorado:		
Fitzsimons Army Medical Center:		
Warehouse addition (PN 47653)	IV IV	1,550 2,750
· · · ·	17	2,730
Subtotal, Army, Colorado		4,300
Indiana: Crane Army ammunition activity: Surveillance test facility (PN 50057)	IV	1,850
Maryland: Fort Detrick: Physical Fitness Center (PN 48153)	IV	3,050
Fort Meade:		
Administrative facility DIS (PN 46307)	IV	12,00
Administrative facility ESSD renovation (PN 47770)SDC—W renovation—Pershing Hall (PN 47237)	IV IV	2,900 6,300
Subtotal, Army, Maryland		24,250
New York: Fort Totten: Storage facility (PN 46258)	IV	1,900
Pennsylvania: Letterkenny Army Depot: Enclave fencing (PN 49714)	IV	1,150
Virginia:		
Fort Pickett: Reserve Center (PN-46354)	IV	3,100
Fort Lee: WAC museum (PN 50091)	IV	2,40
Subtotal, Army, Virginia		5,500
Various locations: Program management	IV	2,350
Total, Army BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1999		42,850
Army BRAC IV family housing construction, fiscal year 1999: Alaska: Fort Wainwright: Family housing (PN 47530)	IV	1,700
Navy BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1999: California:		
Naval Air Weapons Station, Point Mugu:		
Aviation support facilities (260U)	IV IV	1,50
Maintenance and training facilities (261U)	IV	12,800
Subtotal, Navy, California		14,30
:		

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1999 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1999 BRAC military construction projects]

[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount
District of Columbia: Naval District of Washington: NAVSEASYSCOM head- quarters building relocation (009U)	IV	71,543
Hawaii: Naval Telecommunications Center, Makalapa: Building addition (411U)	IV	920
New Jersey: McGuire AFB: Defense courier service building (935U)	IV	850
Tennessee: Naval support activity, Memphis: Building renovation (329U)	IV	4,200
Texas: Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi: Sled ramp facility and land acquisition (421U)	IV	13,313
Virginia: Naval Station, Norfolk: Building renovations and alterations (317U) Naval Air Station, Oceana: Strike Fighter Weapons School additions	IV	3,970
(163U)(163U)	IV	4,073
Subtotal, Navy, Virginia		8,043
Total, Navy BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1999		113,169
New York; Stewart International Airport: Communications training complex (WHAY 959635)	IV	6,000
Tinker AFB: Alter product management (WWYK990032)	IV	2,300
Alter engine test cell (WWYK993200)ADAL fuel air facility (WWYK993201A)	IV IV	3,800 1,300
Subtotal, Air Force, Oklahoma		7,400
Texas: Kelly AFB:		
Security fence/gates (MBPB 993205R1)	IV IV	400 6,200
Fuel operations facility (MBPB 993214R1)	IV	1,200
Reconfigure utility systems (MBPB 993230)	IV	2,500
Subtotal, Air Force, Texas		10,300
Utah: Hill AFB:		
HIII AFB: GTE Test Cell (KRSM 993009)	IV	2,100
Alter Product Management/Composites (KRSM983102)	IV	5,300
F-117 Radar Facility (KRSM 983002)	IV	1,100
Subtotal, Air Force, Utah		8,500

#### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1999 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1999 BRAC military construction projects]

[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount
Various Locations: Planning and design (BCL 99RD4)	IV	700
Total, Air Force BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1999		32,900
Defense Logistics Agency BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1999: Utah: Defense distribution region west Defense Depot Hill, UT: Deployable medical systems warehouse	IV	31,000

Note: Defense Logistics Agency and Defense Information Systems Agency BRAC III and IV Construction, Fiscal Year 1998. Defense Logistics Agency and Defense Information Systems Agency have no construction projects relating to any BRAC round requested in fiscal year 1998.

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART II

The Committee recognizes that fiscal year 1998 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

#### Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part III

Appropriations, 1998	\$768,702,000
Budget estimate, 1999	433,464,000
Committee recommendation	433,464,000

The Committee recommends \$433,464,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part III as authorized. This is a decrease of \$335,238,000 below the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1998

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

Appropriations, 1998	\$1,175,398,000
Budget estimate, 1999	1,297,240,000
Committee recommendation	1.297.240.000

The Committee recommends \$1,297,240,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part IV as authorized. This is an increase of \$121,842,000 above the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1998.

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 101. Restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

Sec. 102. Permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. Permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. Prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. Limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. Prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. Limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. Prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Sec. 109. Prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. Prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. Establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services.

SEC. 112. Establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf.

SEC. 113. Requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

SEC. 114. Limits obligations during the last 2 months of the year. SEC. 115. Permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress

SEC. 116. Permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds

SEC. 117. Permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. Allows expired funds to be transferred to the "Foreign currency fluctuations, construction, defense" account.

SEC. 119. Directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense budget.

SEC. 120. Allows transfer of proceeds from "Base realignment and closure accounts, part I" to the continuing base realignment and closure accounts.

SEC. 121. States that the Department of Defense family improvement fund shall be the sole source of funds available for administrative costs relating to military family housing privatization initiatives.

SEC. 122. Permits transfer of funds from the base realignment and closure accounts to the homeowners assistance fund, defense.

SEC. 123. Restricts the use of funds for the Partnership for Peace Program.

SEC. 124. Requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 125. Provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

SEC. 126. Provides authority to the Secretary of the Navy to purchase land at Paine Field, WA.

# COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill "which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session."

Due to the early Committee action on the fiscal year 1999 Military Construction appropriations bill, an original Senate bill was reported by the Appropriations Committee to the Senate.

At the point when the Committee reports this measure, the Congress has not completed action on S. 2057, the Fiscal Year 1999 Defense Authorization Act. As a consequence, the Committee has acted in good faith in its attempt to comply fully with requirements stipulated under paragraph 7, rule XVI.

The Committee anticipates that Congress will authorize the amounts appropriated in this act.

# COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(C), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, the Committee ordered reported en bloc, S. 2159, an original Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies appropriations bill, 1999, S. 2160, an original Military Construction appropriations bill, 1999, and an original Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent

Agencies appropriations bill, 1999, each subject to amendment and each subject to its budget allocations, by a recorded vote of 27–0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

eas Nays

Chairman Stevens

Mr. Cochran

Mr. Domenici

Mr. Bond

Mr. Gorton

Mr. McConnell

Mr. Burns

Mr. Shelby

Mr. Gregg

Mr. Bennett

Mr. Campbell

Mr. Craig

Mr. Faircloth

Mrs. Hutchison

Mr. Byrd

Mr. Inouye

Mr. Hollings

Mr. Leahy

Mr. Bumpers

Mr. Lautenberg

Mr. Harkin

Ms. Mikulski

Mr. Reid

Mr. Kohl

Mrs. Murray

Mr. Dorgan

Mrs. Boxer

# COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee."

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

34

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
ALABAMA			
ARMY: ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEX FORT RUCKER: AVIATION WARFIGHTING SIMULATION CENTER	3,550	3,550 10,000	+ 10,000
REDSTONE ARSENAL: MISSILE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ANNEX (PHASE II)	13,600	13,600	
MAXWELL AFB: FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,837	1,837	
OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL DINING FACILITY OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL STUDENT DORMITORIESAIR NATIONAL GUARD: DANNELLY FIELD: REPLACE MEDICAL TRAINING AND DIN-	4,796 12,765	4,796 12,765	
ING FACILITY AIR FORCE RESERVE: MAXWELL AFB: CONSOLIDATED AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE		6,000	+6,000
FACILITY	5,200	5,200	. 10 000
TOTAL, ALABAMA=	41,748	57,748	+16,000
ARMY:			
FORT WAINWRIGHT:  CENTRALIZED VEHICLE WASH FACILITY  WHOLE BARRACKS RENEWAL  AIR FORCE:		3,100 19,500	+ 3,100 + 19,500
EIELSON AFB: CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS FACILITY	4,352	4,352	+ + 6.200
WEAPONS AND RELEASE SYSTEMS SHOP	19,500	6,200 19,500	+ 0,200
STATION COMPLEX		10,400	+ 10,400
TOTAL, ALASKA=	23,852	63,052	+ 39,200
ARIZONA NAVY:			
FLAGSTAFF NAVAL OBSERVATORY: OPTIC INTERFEROMETER SUPPORT FA- CILITY	990	990	
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX): COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	11,010 10,640	11,010 10,640	
TOTAL, ARIZONA	22,640	22,640	
= ARKANSAS			
ARMY: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)	16,500		- 16,500
AIR FORCE: LITTLE ROCK AFB: UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT DEFENSE-WIDE: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)		1,500 10,000	+ 1,500 + 10,000
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	16,500	11,500	- 5,000
= California			
ARMY: FORT IRWIN: HELIPORT (PHASE III)	7,000	7,000	
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:  BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS  BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	12,400 15,840	12,400 15,840	
CHINA LAKE NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER WEAPONS DIVISION: MISSILE MAGAZINES	3,240	3,240	

35

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION:			
AIRFRAMES FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	1,510	1,510	
HANGAR RENOVATIONS	5,430	5,430	
TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION	4,270	4,270	
WEAPONS ASSEMBLY FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	9,430	9,430	
MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	29,570	29,570	
NAVAL FACILITY SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	8,350	8,350	
SAN DIEGO NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE: SUBMARINE SUPPORT FACILITY	11,400	11,400	
IR FORCE:			
EDWARDS AFB: RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	10,361	10,361	
TRAVIS AFB: CONTROL TOWER		4,250	+ 4,250
VANDENBERG AFB:			
ADD/ALTER MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9.500	9.500	
SPACE INITITAL QUALIFICATION TRAINING ACADEMIC FAC	9,209	9,209	
EFENSE-WIDE:	0,200	0,200	
BEALE AFB: ADD/ALTER PHYSIOLOGICAL SUPPORT FACILITY	3,500	3,500	
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:	3,300	5,500	•••••
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (MARGARITA)	3.100	3.100	
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (SAN MATEO)	3,200	3,200	
EDWARDS AFB: ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE MEDICAL CLINIC	6,000	6,000	
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, CORONADO: SOF AMPHIBIOUS OPERATIONS FA-	0.000	0.000	
CILITY	3,600	3,600	
SAN DIEGO NAVAL HOSPITAL: WATER STORAGE TANK	1,350	1,350	
TRAVIS AFB: PATIENT MOVEMENT ITEMS/OPERATIONS AND DIST CENTER	1,700	1,700	
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	159,960	164,210	+ 4,250
FALCON AFS:  OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER US AIR FORCE ACADEMY (COLORADO SPRINGS): ADD/ALTER PREP SCHOOL BUILDINGS	9,601 4,413	9,601 5,800 4.413	+ 5,800
DUILDINGS  RMY RESERVE: FORT CARSON: ADD/ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE	1,101	1,101	
-			
TOTAL, COLORADO	15,115	20,915	+ 5,800
CONNECTICUT			
IAVY: NSB NEW LONDON: WATERFRONT IMPROVEMENTS		12,510	+ 12,510
PLEX		11,000	+11,000
RMY RESERVE: WEST HARTFORD: LAND ACQUISITION	1,491	1,491	
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	1.491	25,001	+ 23,510
=	1,431	25,001	- 25,510
DELAWARE			
IR FORCE: DOVER AFB: AIRMAN LEADERSHIP SCHOOL		1,600	+1,600
RMY NATIONAL GUARD: DAGSBORO: READINESS CENTER		3,609	+ 3,609
TOTAL DELAWADE		E 200	L E 200
TOTAL, DELAWARE		5,209	+ 5,209
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
IAVY: COMMANDANT, NAVAL DISTRICT (WASHINGTON, DC): FITNESS CENTER	790	790	
		2.948	
IR FORCE: BOLLING AFB: HONOR GUARD TECHNICAL SCHOOL	2,948	2,948	
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	3,738	3,738	
10 ME, DIGITION OF OULDINDIA	3,730	3,730	

36

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
FLORIDA			
ARMY: MIAMI: SOUTHCOM HEADQUARTERS AND LAND ACQUISITION	26,700		- 26,700
KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER MAYPORT NAVAL STATION: FLEET RECREATION CENTER	3,730	3,730 3,400	+ 3,400
WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRFIELD ALTERATIONS		1,400	+ 1,400
EGLIN AFB:			
DORMITORY	7,866	7,866	
SANTA ROSA ISLAND TEST SITES EGLIN AFB AUXILIARY FIELD 9 (HURLBURT FIELD):	12,571	12,571	
CONTROL TOWER	2,014	2,014	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,823	1,823	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	2,494	2,494	
KC—135 SIMULATOR FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE	2,514	2,514	
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT JACKSONVILLE-MAYPORT ANNEX: REPLACE FUEL	11.000	11 000	
TANKS  DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT JACKSONVILLE: REPLACE FUEL TANKS	11,020	11,020	
EGLIN AFB AUXILIARY FIELD 9 (HURBURT FIELD): CLEAR WATER AIRCRAFT	11,000 9,200	11,000 9,200	
RINSE	2,400	2,400	
MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,210	2.210	
MACDILL AFB: RENOVATE COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION: ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL/LIFE SAFETY UP-	8,400	8,400	
GRADESARMY NATIONAL GUARD: PENSACOLA: READINESS CENTER	25,400	25,400 3,975	+ 3,975
TOTAL, FLORIDA	129,342	111,417	- 17.925
-	,		,
GEORGIA ARMY:			
FORT BENNING: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL		28,600 17,000	+ 17,000
NAVY: KINGS BAY NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE: MAGNETIC SILENCING FACILITY MODIFICATIONS		2,550	+ 2,550
AIR FORCE: ROBINS AFB:		,	,
DEPOT PLANT SERVICES FACILITY	11,894	11,894	
JSTARS DINING FACILITY		6,000	+6,000
MOODY AFB: ALTER CENTRAL MEDICAL FACILITY/ADD DENTAL CLINIC	11,000	11,000	
FORT STEWART: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	10,400	10,400	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ROBINS AFB: B-1 WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEMS/LOAD CREW TRAINING FAC	3,250	3,250	
NAVY RESERVE: NAS ATLANTA:	0,200	0,200	
HANGAR ADDITION		4,100	+4,100
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION		2,250	+ 2,250
TOTAL, GEORGIA	65,144	97,044	+ 31,900
HAWAII			·
ARMY:			
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS:			
LAND ACQUISITION, KAHUKU TRAINING AREA		23.500	+23,500

37

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
NAVY:			
KANEOHE BAY MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	27,410	27,410	
MCB HAWAII:	27,410	27,410	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		19,000	+19,000
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL/WASTE CONSOLIDATION FAC		4,570	+4,570
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS			
MODERNIZATIONPEARL HARBOR FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER: CENTRAL RE-	8,060	8,060	
CEIVING FACILITYPEARL HARBOR NAVAL STATION: ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UP-	9,730	9,730	
GRADES	18.180	18,180	
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD: ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING	11,400	11,400	
PEARL HARBOR NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER:	,	,	
SEWER OUTFALL EXTENSION	22,877	22,877	
STEAM CONDENSATE RETURN SYSTEM	6,090	6,090	
WAHIAWA NAVAL COMPUTER AND TELECOM AREA MASTER STATION (EAST-			
ERN PACIFIC): FIRE STATION	1,970	1,970	
AIR FORCE: HICKAM AFB: REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTAIR FORCE: HICKAM AFB: REPLACE BASE CIVIL ENG. NMAINT. FACIL-	5,890	5,890	
ITY		5,100	+ 5,100
TOTAL, HAWAII	159,107	211,277	+ 52,170
IDAHO			
AIR FORCE:			
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB:			
B1-B CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS SHOP		4,100	+4,100
B1-B MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS		1,500	+1,500
DORMITORY	8,897	8,897	
LAND ACQUISITION	1,000	1,000	
RANGE IMPROVEMENTS	2,400	2,400	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GOWEN FIELD (BOISE): ADD/ALTER READINESS CEN- TER	4,224	4,224	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BOISE AIR TERMINAL: ADD/ALT BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	4,224	3,000	+ 3,000
TOTAL, IDAHO	16,521	25,121	+ 8,600
ILLINOIS			
ARMY: ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL: ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	5,300	5,300	
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER:			
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	5,750	5,750	
GAS TURBINE TRAINING FACILITY  DEFENSE-WIDE: GREAT LAKES NAVAL STATION: HOSPITALMAN SCHOOL ADDI-	7,410	7,410	
TION	7,100	7,100	
TOTAL ILLINOIS	25,560	25,560	
:		20,000	
INDIANA ARMY:			
CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION			
COMPLEX (PHASE II)	7,100	7,100	
			07 500
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT:	07.500		-27,500
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	27,500		ວ ດດດ
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT:  AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT	27,500 2,000		- 2,000
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT:  AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)			- 2,000
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT:  AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)  AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT  NAVY: DEFENSE-WIDE:			- 2,000
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	2,000		- 2,000 + 15,000

38

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT: FUEL CELL CORROSION CONTROL AND FIRE STATION		6,000	+ 6,000
FORT WAYNE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: REPLACE DINING HALL AND MEDI- CAL TRAINING FACILITY		6,000	+ 6,000
Total, Indiana	36,600	36,100	<b>– 500</b>
IOWA			
RMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP DODGE: FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY	737	737	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DES MOINES: REPLACE SECURITY POLICE OPERATION FACILITY		4,000	+ 4,000
TOTAL, IOWA	737	4,737	+ 4,000
= Kansas			
IRMY: FORT LEAVENWORTH: US DISCIPLINARY BARRACKS (PHASE II)	29,000	29,000	
FORT RILEY: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL PHASE II		16,500	+ 16,500
NIR FORCE: MCCONNELL AFB: ADD TO CENTRAL DEPLOYMENT CENTER NIR NATIONAL GUARD:		2,900	+ 2,900
FORBES FIELD: KC-135 MAINTENANCE HANGAR UPGRADE		9,800	+ 9,800
TOTAL, KANSAS	29,000	58,200	+ 29,200
KENTUCKY			
IRMY: BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT (RICHMOND): AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION			
COMPLEXFORT CAMPBELL:	5,300	5,300	
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	41,000	41,000 15,500	+ 15,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT CAMPBELL: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	15,000	15,000	
IRMY NATIONAL GUARD: WESTERN KENTUCKY TRAINING SITE (GREENVILLE): TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASES IV AND V)	5,435	5,435	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: STANDIFORD FIELD, LOUISVILLE: REP COMPOSITE AERIAL POST/ALCE TRG. FACILITY	,	4,100	+4,100
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	66,735	86,335	+ 19,600
LOUISIANA	·		
ARMY: FORT POLK: CONSOLIDATED RAIL COMPLEX		8,300	+ 8,300
AIR FORCE: BARKSDALE AFB: PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		9,300	+ 9,300
DEFENSE-WIDE: BARKSDALE AFB: ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CLINICS VAVY RESERVE: NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION: ENGINE TEST CELL MODI-	3,450	3,450	
FICATIONS	2,200	2,200	
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	5,650	23,250	+ 17,600
MAINE =			
NAVY: NAS BRUNSWICK: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		15,220	+ 15,220
MARYLAND			
ARMY: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	26,500		-26,500
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT	1,850		-1,850
FORT DETRICK:			

39

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE I)		7,100	+7,100
FORT MEADE: EMERGENCY SERVICE CENTER		5,300	+ 5,300
OVEN FACILITY	6,680	6,680	
U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY: DEMOLISH NTRF TOWERS		4,300	+4,300
AIR FORCE: ANDREWS AFB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER DEFENSE-WIDE: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND:.	4,448	4,448	
Ammunition Demilitarization facility (Phase I)  Ammunition Demilitarization Support		15,000 1,850	+ 15,000 + 1,850
FORT MEADE: PERIMETER FENCE (WEST)	668	668	
TOTAL, MARYLAND	43,696	48,896	+ 5,200
MASSACHUSETTS			
AIR FORCE: HANSCOM AFB: RENOVATE ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT FACILITY ARMY RESERVE: FORT DEVENS: RESERVE REGIONAL SUPPORT COMMAND HEAD-		10,000	+10,000
QUARTERS FAC	3,335	3,335	
NAVY RESERVE: FORT DEVENS: RESERVE CENTER RENOVATIONS AIR FORCE RESERVE: WESTOVER AFRB: CONTROL TOWER	840	840 5,000	+ 5,000
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	4,175	19,175	+ 15,000
MICHIGAN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ALPENA COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT (ALPENA):			
FIRE STATION		5,100	+5,100
SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMSELFRIDGE ANGB (MT CLEMENS):	3,900	3,900	
REPLACE CONTROL TOWER/RADAR APPROACH CONTROL CTR INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE		5,200 9,800	+ 9,800
ARMY RESERVE: WALKER: US ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT SHOP	9,439	9,439	
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	18,539	33,439	+ 14,900
MINNESOTA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP RIPLEY: MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN/SNIPER			
RANGE	1,023	1,023	
ISTRATIVE HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	3,630	3,630	
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	4,653	4,653	
TOTAL, MINITEGOTA			
MISSISSIPPI			
MISSISSIPPI NAVY: GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR EN- LISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	10,670	10,670	
MISSISSIPPI  NAVY: GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR EN- LISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	,	,	
MISSISSIPPI  NAVY: GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR EN- LISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT AIR FORCE: COLUMBUS AFB: BACHELOR OFFICERS QUARTERS		5,700	+ 5,700
MISSISSIPPI  NAVY: GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR EN- LISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT		,	+ 5,700
MISSISSIPPI  NAVY: GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR EN- LISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	29,770	5,700 2,500 29,770	+ 5,700 + 2,500
MISSISSIPPI  NAVY: GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR EN- LISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	29,770 5,756	5,700 2,500 29,770 5,756	+ 5,700 + 2,500
MISSISSIPPI  NAVY: GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR EN- LISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT AIR FORCE: COLUMBUS AFB: BACHELOR OFFICERS QUARTERS	29,770 5,756 5,300	5,700 2,500 29,770 5,756 5,300	+ 5,700 + 2,500
MISSISSIPPI  NAVY: GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR EN- LISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	29,770 5,756 5,300 700	5,700 2,500 29,770 5,756	+ 5,700 + 2,500

40

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	52,196	71,143	+ 18,947
MISSOURI ARMY: FORT LEONARD WOOD: ENGINEER QUALIFICATION RANGE	5,200	5,200	
PARKING APRON PHASE I		9,600	+ 9,600
TOTAL, MISSOURI	5,200	14,800	+ 9,600
MONTANA AIR FORCE: MALMSTROM AFB: MISSILE OPERATIONS SHOP		5,300	+ 5,300
REPLACE DORMITORYARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HELENA: RESERVE CENTER		7,900 21,690	+ 7,900 + 21,690
TOTAL, MONTANA		34,890	+ 34,890
NEBRASKA AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LINCOLN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT: JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING		· ·	<u> </u>
FACILITY		3,350	+ 3,350
NEVADA AIR FORCE: INDIAN SPRINGS AUXILIARY AIR FIELD:			
UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE LOGISTICS AND TRAINING FAC UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT	3,965	,	
MAINTENANCE UNIT UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE COMMUNICATION MAINTENANCE FACIL-	7,059	7,059	
ITY/INFRASTRUCTURE/UTILITIES NELLIS AFB: DORMITORY	3,989 6,378	3,989 6,378	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CARSON CITY: READINESS CENTER		5,860	+ 5,860
TOTAL, NEVADA	21,391	27,251	+ 5,860
NEW JERSEY AIR FORCE: MCGUIRE AFB: DINING FACILITYARMY RESERVE: FORT DIX: AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	6,044	6,044 8,731	+ 8,731
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	6,044	14,775	+ 8,731
NEW MEXICO			
AIR FORCE:  CANNON AFB: REPAIR RUNWAY KEEL SECTION 2		6,500	+6,500
REP NUCLEAR WEAPON INTEGRATION BUILDINGFIRE TRAINING FACILITY		6,800 1,774	+ 6,800
DEFENSE-WIDE: HOLLOMAN AFB: WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSEARMY NATIONAL GUARD: TAOS: READINESS CENTER	1,300	1,300 3,300	+ 3,300
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	3,074	19,674	+ 16,600
NEW YORK ARMY:			
FORT DRUM:  CONSOLIDATED SOLDIER AND FAMILY SUPPORT CTR (PHASE I  INCLEMENT WEATHER WEAPONS TRNG FAC		7,000 4,650	+ 7,000 + 4,650
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY (WEST POINT): CADET PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT CTR (PHASE I)	12,000	12,000	

41

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
DEFENSE-WIDE: U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY (WEST POINT): ELEMENTARY SCHOOL			
ADDITION/RENOVATIONARMY RESERVE: FORT WADSWORTH: ADD/ALTER US ARMY RESERVE CENTER	2,840	2,840	
(PHASE I)	6,424	6,424	
AIR FORCE RESERVE: NIAGARA FALLS ARS: CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACIL- ITY		3,900	+ 3,900
TOTAL, NEW YORK	21,264	36,814	+ 15,550
NODTH CAROLINA			
NORTH CAROLINA ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX	30,000	30,000	
FORCE PROTECTION FACILITIES	,	8,300	+ 8.300
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	47.000	47,000	.,
NAVY:	47,000	47,000	
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE:			
		15 700	. 15 700
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	1 020	15,700	+ 15,700
FIRE STATION	1,830	1,830	
INFRASTRUCTURE PHYSICAL SECURITY	12,770	12,770	
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION ADDITION	1,620	1,620	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,420	4,420	
AIR FORCE: SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB: EDUCATION CENTER AND LIBRARY		6,100	+6,100
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BRAGG: WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE	6,500	6,500	
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE: BREWSTER MIDDLE SCHOOL	16,900	16,900	
POPE AFB: HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	4,100	4,100	
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	125,140	155,240	+ 30,100
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE:			
GRAND FORKS AFB:			
ADD TO PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		8.800	+ 8.800
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	2,686	2,686	,
MINOT AFB: TAXIWAY REPAIR		8,500	+ 8,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: GRAND FORKS AFB: ADD/ALTER MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	5,600	5,600	. 0,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BISMARCK: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FAC/READINESS	-,	5,222	
CTR EXPANSION	6,240	6.240	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:	-,	-,	
HECTOR FIELD (FARGO):			
ADD/ALTER BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX		3,650	+3,650
REGIONAL FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	800	800	
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	15,326	36,276	+ 20,950
01110			
OHIO AIR FORCE:			
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB:			
ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX (PHASE IV-A)	22,000	22,000	
ADAL PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	22,000	4,600	+ 4,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SPRINGFIELD-BECKLEY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT: BASE CIVIL		4,000	1 4,000
ENGINEER/SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX		5,000	+ 5,000
ARMY RESERVE: GAHANNA: US ARMY RESERVE CENTER PURCHASE	3,115	3,115	T J,000
	3,113	3,113	
TOTAL, OHIO	25,115	34,715	+ 9,600
·		-	

42

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY:			
MCALESTER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEX	10,800	10,800	
FORT SILL:			
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP (PHASE I)	13,800	13,800	
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	20,500	20,500	
ALTUS AFB: CONTROL TOWER		4,000	+ 4,000
TINKER AFB:		,	,
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,085	5,085	
SQUADRON OPERATION AND MOBILITY CENTER		10,800	+10,800
DORMITORY VANCE AFB:	9,100	9,100	
ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		4,400	+ 4,400
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1.823	1,823	T 4,400
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT SILL: REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITY	3,500	3,500	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LEXINGTON: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY EXPAN-	.,	.,	
SION	7,382	7,382	
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	71,990	91,190	+ 19,200
OREGON			
ARMY: UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE			
IV)	50,950		-50,950
DEFENSE-WIDE: UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACIL- ITY (PHASE IV)		30,950	+ 30,950
TOTAL, OREGON	50,950	30,950	- 20,000
·			
PENNSYLVANIA NAVY:			
NAVICE MECHANICSBURG: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		1,600	+ 1,600
NAVICE PHILADELPHIA: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		1,550	+ 1,550
DEFENSE-WIDE: CARLISLE BARRACKS: HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION	4,678	4,678	
ARMY RESERVE: U.S. ARMY RESERVE CENTER: 99TH REGIONAL SUPPORT COM-	,	,	
MAND PH II		19,512	+19,512
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	4,678	27,340	+ 22,662
:			
RHODE ISLAND NAVY:			
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODI-	5 630	5 630	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODI- FICATIONS	5,630	5,630	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODI-	5,630 9,140	5,630 9,140	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODI- FICATIONS NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE	,,	.,	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	9,140	9,140	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND  SOUTH CAROLINA	9,140	9,140	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	9,140	9,140	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND  SOUTH CAROLINA  NAVY: BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: MISSILE MAGAZINES	9,140	9,140	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND  SOUTH CAROLINA  NAVY:  BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: MISSILE MAGAZINES  CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: ORDNANCE RAILROAD REALIGN-	9,140 14,770 1,770	9,140 14,770 1,770	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND  SOUTH CAROLINA  NAVY: BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: MISSILE MAGAZINES	9,140	9,140	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND  SOUTH CAROLINA  NAVY:  BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: MISSILE MAGAZINES CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: ORDNANCE RAILROAD REALIGNMENT	9,140 14,770 1,770	9,140 14,770 1,770	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND  SOUTH CAROLINA  NAVY:  BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: MISSILE MAGAZINES  CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: ORDNANCE RAILROAD REALIGNMENT  PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT: WEAPONS BATTALLION MESSHALL	9,140 14,770 1,770 9,737	9,140 14,770 1,770 9,737	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	9,140 14,770 1,770 9,737 7,960	9,140 14,770 1,770 9,737 7,960	
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER: BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS  NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER: UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY  TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	9,140 14,770 1,770 9,737	9,140 14,770 1,770 9,737	

43

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	6,769	6,769	
DINING FACILITY		5,221	
SHAW AFB: EDUCATIONAL CENTER AND LIBRARY		8,500	+8,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLIN-			
IC REP		11,000	+11,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SPARTANBURG: READINESS CENTER		5,260	+ 5,260
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	43,797	68,557	+ 24,760
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AFB: ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		6,500	+6,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: JOE FOSS FIELD: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE AND ASE FACIL-			
ITY		5,200	+5,200
TOTAL COUTLI DAI/OTA		11 700	. 11 700
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA		11,700	+ 11,700
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
FORT BLISS: TACTICAL VEHICLE OVERPASS		4,100	+4,100
FORT HOOD: RAILHEAD FACILITY (PHASE I)	17,500	17,500	
FORT SAM HOUSTON:			
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL		21,800	
DINING FACILITY		5,500	+ 5,500
AIR FORCE: DYESS AFB: B-1B SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP ADDITION		1,400	. 1.400
LACKLAND AFB:.		1,400	+ 1,400
DORMITORY	6,800	6.800	
OPERATIONS FACILITY		8,130	
RANDOLPH AFB: BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY		3.166	
GOODFELLOW AFB: STUDENT DORMITORY	.,	7.300	+7,300
DEFENSE-WIDE:		,	,
FORT HOOD:			
BLOOD DONOR CENTER	3,100	3,100	
PRIMARY CARE CLINIC	11,000	11,000	
NAVY RESERVE: GALVESTON: MARINE RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	4,090	4,090	
TOTAL, TEXAS	75,586	93,886	+ 18,300
UTAH			
ARMY: TOOELE ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEX	3,900	3,900	
AIR FORCE:	5,500	3,300	
HILL AFB:			
ADD TO CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		1,500	+1,500
WAR READINESS ASSET WAREHOUSE		2,600	+ 2,600
ARMY RESERVE:			
SALT LAKE CITY: US ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTE-			
NANCE SHOP/DIRECT SUPPORT—GENERAL SUPPORT/EQUIPMENT CON-			
CENTRATION SITE	13,200	17,306	+4,106
TOTAL, UTAH	17,100	25,306	+ 8,206
TOTAL, OTALI	=======================================	23,300	1 0,200
VERMONT			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: BASE SUPPLY			
COMPLEX		5,500	+5,500
VIRGINIA			
ARMY:			
CHARLOTTESVILLE: NATIONAL GROUND INTELLIGENCE CENTER FACILITY	46,200	46,200	
FORT EUSTIS:			
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		4,650	+ 4,650

44
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[in thousands of dollars]			
Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	36,531	36,531	
FORT MEYER: BARRACKS RENOVATION		6,200	+ 6,200
NAVY:			
DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER: WEAPONS SYSTEM DEVEL-			
OPMENT LABORATORY ADDITION	5,130	5,130	
DAM NECK TACTICAL TRAINING GROUP (ATLANTIC): TRAINING BUILDING	0.400	0.400	
ADDITION NORFOLK FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER: FIRE STATION	2,430 1,770	2,430 1,770	
NORFOLK FLEET TRAINING CENTER: ENGINEERING TRAINING FAC ADDITION	1,770	1,770	
AND RENOVATION	5,700	5,700	
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION: BERTHING PIER (PHASE I)	32,030	32,030	
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD (PORTSMOUTH): DREDGING	6,180	6,180	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CHEATHAM ANNEX:			
FLEET HOSPITAL SUPPORT OFFICE—OPERATIONAL WAREHOUSE	9,400	9,400	
FLEET HOSPITAL SUPPORT OFFICE—ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	1,900	1,900	
DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER (RICHMOND): CONVERT WAREHOUSE TO ADMIN-	10.500	10.500	
ISTRATIVE SPACE	10,500	10,500	
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL HOSPITAL: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE X)	17,954	17,954 2,435	
ARMY RESERVE:	2,435	2,433	
FORT BELVOIR: US ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTE-			
NANCE SHOP/AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY	10,314	10,314	
FORT EUSTIS: AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	11,618	11,618	
NAVY RESERVE: NORFOLK NAVAL AIR RESERVE CENTER: HANGAR ALTERA-	11,010	11,010	
TIONS	1,660	1,660	
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	201,752	212,602	+ 10,850
WACHINOTON			
WASHINGTON ARMY:			
FORT LEWIS:			
CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	4,650	4,650	
CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER BUILDING	7,600	7,600	
CONSOLIDATED FUEL FACILITY	3,950	3,950	
TANK TRAIL EROSION MITIGATION (YAKIMA)	2,000	2,000	
NAVY:			
BREMERTON STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY (PACIFIC): SECURITY FACILITY	0.750	0.750	
UPGRADES	2,750	2,750	
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD (BREMERTON): COMMUNITY SUPPORT FA- CILITY		4,300	. 4 200
AIR FORCE:		4,300	+ 4,300
FAIRCHILD AFB:			
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	7,620	7,620	
SURVIVAL ACADEMIC TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER		3,900	+ 3,900
MCCHORD AFB:		.,	.,
C-17 ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,321	2,321	
C-17 ADD/ALTER SIMULATOR FACILITY	1,823	1,823	
C-17 ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE			
FACILITY	2,110	2,110	
C-17 ALTER COMPOSITE SHOP	1,630	1,630	
C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGARS	6,427	6,427	
C-17 FLIGHTLINE SUPPORT FACILITY	4,029	4,029	
C-17 LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	4,413	4,413	
	18,025	18,025	
C-17 REPAIR BASE ROADS C-17 SHORTFIELD ASSAULT STRIP	2,224	2,224	
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	2,321 6,524	2,321 6,524	
DEFENSE-WIDE:	0,324	0,524	
BANGOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE: DISEASE VECTOR ECOLOGY AND CON-			
TROL CENTER	5,700	5,700	
	.,	.,	

45

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
BREMERTON NAVAL HOSPITAL: ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL MCCHORD AFB: CLINIC/WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHSE REPLACE-	28,000	28,000	
MENT	20,000	20,000	
ITYAIR NATIONAL GUARD: FAIRCHILD AFB: COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEXARMY RESERVE: FORT LAWTON: USAR/OMS/MEP, PH II		3,400 9,800 10,713	+ 3,400 + 9,800 + 10,713
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	134,117	166,230	+ 32,113
WEST VIRGINIA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD): READINESS CENTER	4,465	13,595	- 4,465 + 13,595
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	4,465	13,595	+ 9,130
WISCONSIN  AIR NATIONAL GUARD: VOLK FIELD (CAMP DOUGLAS): UPGRADE RUNWAY AND TAXIWAYARMY RESERVE:	9,600	9,600	
FORT MCCOY: CRASH RESCUE STATION MACHINE GUN RANGE	1,850 2,032	1,850 2,032	
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	13,482	13,482	
WYOMING AIR FORCE: F.E. WARREN AFB: ALTER DORMITORIES		3,850	+ 3,850
CONUS CLASSIFIED ARMY: CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS: CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,600	4,600	
ARMY: BELGIUM: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,300	6,300	
GERMANY  ARMY: CONN BARRACKS (SCHWEINFURT): WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RE- NEWAL  KITZINGEN FAMILY HOUSING (WUERZBURG): CHILD DEVELOPMENT CEN- TER AIR FORCE:	18,000 4,250	18,000 4,250	
Spangdahlem ab: Consolidated air control squadron operations fac Dormitory	4,466 9,501	9,501	- 4,466
TOTAL, GERMANY	36,217	31,751	- 4,466
GREECE  NAVY: SOUDA BAY CRETE NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5,260	5,260	
GUAM NAVY:			
NAVAL ACTIVITIES (GUAM):  SPECIAL WARFARE UNIT FACILITY	5,500 4,810	5,500 4,810	

46

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
NAVAL ACTIVITIES (GUAM):			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION AND RENOVATION	8,600	8,600	
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION AND CONVERSION	4,500	4,500	
TOTAL, GUAM	23,410	23,410	
ITALY			
NAVY: NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY			
(CAPODICHINO)	18,270	18.270	
DEFENSE-WIDE: SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION: FLIGHT LINE DISPENSARY	5,300	5,300	
TOTAL, ITALY	23,570	23,570	
KOREA			
ARMY:			
CAMP CASEY:			
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	13,400	13,400	
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		8,000	+8,000
CAMP CASTLE: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	18,226	18,226	
CAMP HUMPHREYS: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	8,500	8,500	
CAMP STANLEY: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWALAIR FORCE:	5,800	5,800	
KUNSAN AB: DORMITORY	5,958	5,958	
OSAN AB: DORMITORY	7,496	7,496	
TOTAL, KOREA	59,380	67,380	+ 8,000
KWAJALEIN			
ARMY: KWAJALEIN ATOLL: POWER PLANT (ROI NAMUR ISLAND) (PHASE I)	12,600		- 12,600
DEFENSE-WIDE: MISSILE RANGE: MULTI PURPOSE MISSILE TEST FACILITIES	4,600		- 4,600
TOTAL, KWAJALEIN	17,200		- 17,200
TOTAL, RWAJALETIV	17,200		- 17,200
PORTUGAL			
DEFENSE-WIDE: LAJES FIELD (AZORES): FUEL PUMPHOUSE AND TANKS	7,700	7,700	
PUERTO RICO			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BUCHANAN: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	8,805	8,805	
ROOSEVELT ROADS NAVAL STATION: OPERATIONS FACILITY	9,600	9,600	
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	18,405	18,405	
TURKEY			
AIR FORCE: INCIRLIK AB: CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FACILITY	2,949	2,949	
UNITED KINGDOM			
NAVY: ST MAWGAN JOINT MARITIME COMMUNICATION CENTER: EDUCATION CEN-			
TER ADDITION	2,010	2,010	
AIR FORCE:		4=	
RAF LAKENHEATH: DORMITORIES (2)	15,838	15,838	
RAF MILDENHALL:	10.020	10.020	
DORMITORYKC—135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	10,926 14,034	10,926 14,034	
DEFENSE-WIDE: RAF LAKENHEATH: HOSPITAL ANNEX REPLACEMENT	10,800	10,800	
		*	
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	53,608	53,608	

47
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
NATO			
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	185,000	152,600	-32,400
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	,	*	,
ARMY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
HOST NATION SUPPORT	20,450	20,450	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	41,819	46,819	+5,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	10,000	15,000	+5,000
VAVY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:	E0.24C	CO 14C	. 2.000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	58,346	62,146	+ 3,800
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	8,900	10,100	+1,200
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,135	10,135	+ 3,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	35,592	39,522	+ 3,930
DEFENSE-WIDE:	30,002	55,022	. 0,000
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM	46,950	46,950	
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	9,390	5,000	-4,390
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	1,450	4,150	+2,700
DEF THREAT REDUCTION/TREATY COMPLIANCE AGENCY	100	100	
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	12,561	9,061	-3,500
DOD DEPENDENT SCHOOLS		1,000	+1,000
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	2,200	2,200	
DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	8,555	8,555	
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	24,866	25,066	+ 200
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,200	1,500	-2,700
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,500	1,500	
DEF THREAT REDUCTION/TREATY COMPLIANCE AGENCY	900	900	
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	3,595	3,595 999	
DOD DEPENDENT SCHOOLS DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	999 1,900	1,900	
DEFENSE MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL CENTER	15,000	15,000	
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	3,000	3,000	
DEFEROE LEVEL AUTIVITES	3,000	3,000	
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	31,094	28,394	-2,700
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:	4.540	15 401	. 10.050
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,548	15,401	+ 10,853
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	546	8,546	+ 8,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,549	10,049	+ 1,500
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,462	7,962	+ 4,500
ARMY RESERVE: UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: PLANNING AND DE-	3,402	7,302	1 4,500
SIGN	7,368	7,368	
NAVY RESERVE:	7,000	7,000	
- <del>-</del>			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:	1,974	1,974	
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: PLANNING AND DESIGN		877	
	877	0//	
PLANNING AND DESIGN UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	877	077	
PLANNING AND DESIGN UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	877	077	
PLANNING AND DESIGN UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION  AIR FORCE RESERVE: UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: PLANNING AND DESIGN	877 2,432	2,432	
PLANNING AND DESIGN			
PLANNING AND DESIGN UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION AIR FORCE RESERVE: UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,432	2,432	

48

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS			
DEFENSE-WIDE: VARIOUS LOCATIONS: CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES (CAMP			
LEJEUNE, NC)	1,300	1,300	
:		,,,,,	
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
	14,000	14,000	
ALABAMA: REDSTONE ARSENAL (118 UNITS)	14,700	14,700	
NORTH CAROLINA: FORT BRAGG (170 UNITS)	19,800	19,800	
TEXAS: FORT HOOD (154 UNITS)	21,600	21,600	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	28,629	49,679	+ 21,050
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,350	6,350	T 21,030
GENERAL REDUCTION	-1,639	-1,639	
JENERAL REDOCTION	1,055	1,000	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	103,440	124,490	+ 21,050
PERATION AND MAINTENANCE:	105,440	124,430	1 21,030
INTEREST PAYMENTS	3	3	
LEASING	202,155	202,155	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	415	415	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	467,914	467,914	
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	250,407	250,407	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	52,222	52,222	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	87,125	87,125	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	44,492	44,492	
TORNISHING ACCOUNT	44,432	44,432	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,104,733	1,104,733	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,208,173	1,229,223	+ 21,050
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
CALIFORNIA: LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION (162 UNITS)	30.379	30.379	
HAWAII: PEARL HARBOR PUBLIC WORKS CENTER (150 UNITS)	29,125	29,125	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	211,991	217,791	+ 5.800
PLANNING AND DESIGN	15,618	15,618	1 0,000
GENERAL REDUCTION	-6,323	-6,323	
	0,020	0,020	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	280,790	286,590	+5,800
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:		,	,
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	414,967	414,967	
LEASING	135,079	135,079	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	293	293	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	82,331	82,331	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	33,199	33,199	
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	184,519	184,519	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	64,829	64,829	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	76	76	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	915,293	915,293	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,196,083	1,201,883	+ 5,800
TOTAL, TAINILE HOUSING, NAVI AND MAKINE COKES	1,130,063	1,201,003	<del>- 5,000</del>
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
NLABAMA: MAXWELL AFB (143 UNITS)	16,300	16,300	
NLASKA: EIELSON AFB (46 UNITS)	12,932	12,932	
CALIFORNIA:	,··-	, · · =	
EDWARDS AFB (48 UNITS)	12,580	12,580	
VANDENBERG AFB (95 UNITS)	18,499	18,499	
DELAWARE: DOVER AFB (55 UNITS)	8,998	8,998	
FLORIDA:	-,-50	2,200	
MACDILL AFB (48 UNITS)	7,609	7,609	
PATRICK AFB (46 UNITS)	9,692	9,692	
	-,	-,	

49

### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

Committee

2,153

125

70

244

735

355

51

450

424

496

531

12,292

18,847

2,153

125

70

735

355

51

450

424

496

531

.....

.....

12,292

18,847

Change from

Budget request Installation and project dation estimate TYNDALL AFB (122 UNITS) 14.500 14,500 MISSISSIPPI: KEESLER AFB (52 UNITS) . 6.800 +6,800COLUMBUS AFB (52 UNITS) ..... 6.800 +6,800MONTANA: MALMSTROM AFB (62 UNITS) ..... 12,300 +12,300OFFUTT AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY) ..... OFFUTT AFB (HOUSING OFFICE) 870 OFFUTT AFB (90 UNITS) ..... 12.212 NEW JERSEY: NEW MEXICO: KIRTLAND AFB (37 UNITS) 6,400 6.400 OHIO: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB (40 UNITS) 5,600 ...... 5.600 TEXAS: DYESS AFB (64 UNITS) ..... 9.415 9,415 .. SHEPPARD AFB (115 UNITS) ..... 12.800 +12.800WASHINGTON-FAIRCHILD AFB (HOUSING OFFICE/MAINTENANCE FACILITY) ..... 1,692 1,692 FAIRCHILD AFB (14 UNITS) ..... 2,300 2,300 CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS ..... 81,778 118,018 +36,240PLANNING AND DESIGN ..... 11,342 11,342 GENERAL REDUCTION ...... -7,584-11,084-3,500SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION ..... 226,035 297,475 +71,440OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE: MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS ..... MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY ..... 388,659 388,659 MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT ..... 5,240 5,240 LEASING 118,071 118,071 FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT ..... 37.218 37.218 UTILITIES ACCOUNT ..... 152,214 152.214 SERVICES ACCOUNT 36 066 36 066 MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT ..... 52,495 52,495 SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ..... 789,995 789,995 TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE ..... 1,016,030 1,087,470 +71,440FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NSA) (MENWITH HILL, UNITED KINGDOM) (27 50 50 ..... CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (DLA) (RICHMOND, VA CARPORTS AND SHEL-295 295 ..... TERS; LATHROP, CA FENCING) ..... SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION ..... 345 ...... 345 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA) 126 126

FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA) .....

FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA) .....

MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA) .....

MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) .....

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA) .....

SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA) .....

SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA) .....

UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA) .....

UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA) .....

LEASING (NSA)

MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)

MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)

LEASING (DIA)

50
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	36,899	36,899	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	37,244	37,244	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	7,000	7,000	
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND	12,800	12,800	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	433,464	433,464	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	1,297,240	1,297,240	
TOTAL, BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE	1,730,704	1,730,704	
GENERAL PROVISIONS			
SECTION 126 (FAMILY HOUSING, PAINE FIELD)	6,000	6,000	
GRAND TOTAL	7,784,074	8,480,574	+ 696,500

 $\circ$